

F30/F31/F32/F33/F99/K00 RFC Review

Application #:

Applicant:

OVERALL IMPACT

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood that the fellowship will enhance the candidate's potential for, and commitment to, a productive independent scientific research career in a health-related field, in consideration of the scored and additional review criteria.

Score the overall merits of the application. Use the three review criteria areas defined below to evaluate the proposed fellowship application.

Overall Impact/Merit <i>Write a paragraph summarizing the factors that informed your Overall Impact score.</i>

SCORED REVIEW CRITERIA

Evaluate the overall merit of the application considering the three review criteria areas defined below. For each criteria area, provide a score and comments addressing the elements listed.

1. Candidate's Goals, Preparedness and Potential
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Discuss the candidate's preparedness for the proposed research training plan. Consider the context, for example, the candidate's stage of training and the opportunities available.Assess whether the candidate and sponsor statements as well as the referee letters provide convincing evidence that the candidate possesses qualities (such as scientific understanding, creativity, curiosity, resourcefulness, and drive) that will improve the likelihood of a successful research training outcome.Consider the candidate's potential to benefit from the fellowship research training plan and to transition to the next career stage in the biomedical research workforce.

- Discuss the candidate's preparedness for the proposed research training plan. Consider the context, for example, the candidate's stage of training and the opportunities available.
- Assess whether the candidate and sponsor statements as well as the referee letters provide convincing evidence that the candidate possesses qualities (such as scientific understanding, creativity, curiosity, resourcefulness, and drive) that will improve the likelihood of a successful research training outcome.
- Consider the candidate's potential to benefit from the fellowship research training plan and to transition to the next career stage in the biomedical research workforce.

2. Research Training Plan
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Assess the rigor and feasibility of the research training project and how completion of the project will contribute to the development of the candidate as a research scientist.Evaluate the goals of the overall research training plan and the extent to which the plan will facilitate the attainment of the goals.Discuss whether the research training plan identifies areas of needed development and contains appropriate, realistic activities and milestones to address those needs.Consider whether the sponsor(s), scientific environment, facilities, and resources are adequate and appropriate for the proposed research training plan.

- Assess the rigor and feasibility of the research training project and how completion of the project will contribute to the development of the candidate as a research scientist.
- Evaluate the goals of the overall research training plan and the extent to which the plan will facilitate the attainment of the goals.
- Discuss whether the research training plan identifies areas of needed development and contains appropriate, realistic activities and milestones to address those needs.
- Consider whether the sponsor(s), scientific environment, facilities, and resources are adequate and appropriate for the proposed research training plan.

3. Commitment to Candidate, Mentoring and Training Environment

- Assess whether the sponsor(s) presents a strong mentoring plan appropriate to the needs and goals of the candidate.
- Evaluate the extent to which the sponsor(s) and organizational commitment is appropriate, sufficient, and in alignment with the candidate's research training plan.
- Consider whether the level of commitment provided will contribute to the successful completion of the proposed plan and allow the candidate to advance to a productive career in the biomedical research workforce.

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CRITERIA

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will evaluate the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, and in providing an overall impact score, but will not give separate scores for these items.

- Responses for Protections for Human Subjects, Vertebrate Animals, and Biohazards **are required from reviewers for all applications.**
- A response for Inclusion Plans is required from reviewers for applications proposing Human Subjects Research, except those designated Exemption 4.

Protections for Human Subjects

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (Applicable for Clinical Trials Only):

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Inclusion Plans **Applicable Only for Human Subjects research and not Human Subjects Exemption #4.**

- Sex: [Click Here to Select](#)
- Race and Ethnicity: [Click Here to Select](#)
- For NIH-Defined Phase III trials, Plans for valid design and analysis: [Click Here to Select](#)
- Inclusion/Exclusion Based on Age: [Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Vertebrate Animals

Is the proposed research involving vertebrate animals scientifically appropriate, including the justifications for animal usage and protections for research animals described in the Vertebrate Animal section (and method of euthanasia described in the Cover Page Supplement or PHS Fellowship Supplemental Form, if applicable)?

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Biohazards

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Resubmissions

Comments (if applicable):

-

Renewals

Comments (if applicable):

-

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will address each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Training in the Responsible Conduct of Research

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments on Format (Required):

-

Comments on Subject Matter (Required):

-

Comments on Faculty Participation (Required):

-

Comments on Duration (Required):

-

Comments on Frequency (Required):

-

Applications from Foreign Organizations

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Select Agent Research

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Resource Sharing Plans

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required):

-

Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources

[Click Here to Select](#)

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

-

Budget and Period of Support

[Click Here to Select](#)

Recommended budget modifications or possible overlap identified:

-